COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION - FORM AS 4(B) (PROGRAM NAME) BACCALAUREATE SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Form AS 4(B): A form required for Reaffirmation, Candidacy, and ongoing compliance per AS 4.0.3.

Submitting Form AS 4 for Reaffirmation Self-Study & Candidacy Benchmarks

This form is used to assist the COA in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standard below:

4.0.3: The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All programs accredited by the Council on Social Work Education's Commission on Accreditation (COA) are required to measure and report student learning outcomes. All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards (EPAS) and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. These holistic competencies reflect the dimensions (knowledge, values, skills, and cognitive & affective processes) of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training.

Programs determine a percentage-based benchmark for each competency and determine an outcome-measure benchmark (minimum score) for each measure. The competency benchmark (which can differ for each competency) represents the minimum percent of students the program expects to have achieved the outcome measure benchmarks in both/all measures for each of the nine competencies. The program then determines the percentage of students that attained each outcome measure (e.g., minimum score or higher), and aggregates the percentages for both/all measures together to obtain the percentage of students demonstrating competence inclusive of two (2) or more measures. The result of aggregating both/all outcome measure percentages provides the percentage of students achieving the competency benchmark. An aggregated percentage at or above the competency benchmark is considered achievement of that competency. If the program has more than one program option, the program must report data for each program option, and also an aggregate of all program options combined to determine an overall percentage of students across all program options achieving the competency benchmark.

Posting Form AS 4 for Ongoing Compliance with AS 4.0.3

Per the requirement of CSWE COA's recognizing body, the Council on Higher Education Accreditation (CHEA), and accreditation standard 4.0.3, programs must post this form publicly on its website and routinely up-date (minimally every 2 years) its findings. Upon request, programs must provide CSWE with the weblink to the published form on the program's website where it is accessible to the public. Data presented on the form must be collected within 2 years of today's date at all times.

Summary of the Program's Assessment Plan | Generalist Practice

All students are assessed using a minimum of two measures on their mastery of the nine competencies that comprise the Educational Policy and Accreditation Standards of the Council on Social Work Education and any additional competencies programs may choose to add. Summarize the program's competency-based assessment plan. Programs may add/delete rows to accurately reflect the number measures included in the data presented.

Assessment Measure #1: SWEAP						
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge					
When/where students are assessed:	Fall 2020/					
	Field Seminar					
	Course					
Who assessed student competence:	Field Seminar					
	Instructors					
Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement)	80% on each					
for Competencies 1-9:	section of the					
	SWEAP					
	Assessment					
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have	80% of the					
achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies	students will					
1-9:	achieve					
	benchmark					
Assessment Measure #2: Field Learning Contract	T.,					
Dimension(s) assessed:	Knowledge,					
	values, skills,					
	and cognitive					
	& affective					
	processes					
When/where students are assessed:	Fall 2020/					
	Field					
	Placements					
Who assessed student competence:	Field					
	Instructor					

Outcome Measure Benchmark (minimum score indicative of achievement) for Competencies 1-9:	
	At least a rating of 2 on a 4 point scale
Competency Benchmark (percent of students the program expects to have achieved the minimum scores, inclusive of all measures) for Competencies 1-9:	
	80% of the students will achieve benchmark

Directions for completing Form AS 4

Indicate the benchmark percentage for each competency. The competency benchmark is the percent of students the program expects to have achieved both/all outcome measure benchmarks. Programs calculate the percentage of students achieving each outcome measure benchmark, then calculate the percentage of students achieving each competency inclusive of two or more measures for each program option. Programs with multiple program options must present data for each program option, and in aggregate inclusive of all program options per competency. Programs may add/delete columns to accurately reflect the number of program options offered. *This is a required form.* The assessment data table may be altered to accurately reflect the number of program options offered and additional program-developed competencies program. However, beyond these formatting alternations, the program may not alter the content of this form.

Assessment Data Collected during the Academic Year (2019-2020)

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK (%)	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK				
		Aggregate of All Program Options	Program Option #1 (campus)			
		n = (63 seniors)	n = (63)			
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	80% of students will demonstrate competence inclusive of 2 or more measures	91%	100% field instrument and 82% SWEAP=91%			
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice		95%	100% field instrument and 90% SWEAP=95%			
Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental		95%	100% field instrument and 90% SWEAP=95%			

Competency 4:	80%	75.5%	100% field instrument	
Engage in	of students will	1 0.0 / 0	and 51% SWEAP=69%	
Practice-informed			ana 31/4 3112/11 33/4	
Research and	competence			
Research-	inclusive of 2 or			
informed Practice	more measures			
	80%	76.5%	100% field instrument	
	of students will		and 53% SWEAP=76.5%	
Competency 5:	demonstrate			
Engage in Policy	competence			
Practice	inclusive of 2 or			
	more measures			
Competency 6:	80%	79.5%	100% field instrument	
Engage with	of students will		and 59% SWEAP=79.5%	
Individuals,	demonstrate			
Families, Groups,	competence			
Organizations,	inclusive of 2 or			
and Communities	more measures			
Competency 7:	80%	92%	100% field instrument	
Assess	of students will		and 84% SWEAP=92%	
Individuals,	demonstrate			
Families, Groups,	competence			
Organizations,	inclusive of 2 or			
and Communities	more measures			
Competency 8:	80%	89%	100% field instrument	
Intervene with	of students will		and 78% SWEAP=89%	
Individuals,	demonstrate			
Families, Groups,				
Organizations,	inclusive of 2 or			
and Communities				
Competency 9:	80%	89.5%	97% field instrument	
Evaluate Practice			and 82% SWEAP=89.5%	
with Individuals,	demonstrate			
Families, Groups,				
Organizations,	inclusive of 2 or			
and Communities	more measures			